UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,422	05/24/2006	Anne-Marie Caminade	1004900-000276	1713
21839 7590 07/22/2010 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC EXAMINER				
POST OFFICE		DOLLINGER, MICHAEL M		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	10/580,422	CAMINADE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MIKE DOLLINGER	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	:s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this commut BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	This action is non-final. owance except for formal mat	•	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 60-64,66-79,81-87,89-91 and 11 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 60-64,66-77,79,81-87,89-91,119 7) ☐ Claim(s) 78,121,129,135,141,142,144 and 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration. ,120,122-128,130-134,136-14 d 145 is/are objected to.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to othe drawing(s) be held in abeyal orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 1796

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 133 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim ends in a comma and not a period. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 66-68, 77, 85-87, 90, 91, 120, 122-126, 128, 130-134 and 136-140 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Killat et al (US 4,871,779).
- 3. Killat et al. disclose dense star polymers (column 2 lines 26-27) with at least one dendritic branch (column 2 line 27), at least two terminal ion exchange moieties on each dendritic branch (column 2 lines 28-29) that is preferably phosphonate or phosphonium (column 6 lines 58-61). Before adding the terminal groups, the dendrimers have the structure:

Art Unit: 1796

wherein Y represents a divalent amide moiety such as

The above dendritic polymers may have a PAMAM (polyamidoamine) structure (the leftmost moiety for Y), a ternary or trivalent core molecule, and second generation dendritic branches (column 10 lines 15-18). The phosphonic terminals can be added through the direct reaction of the –NH<sub>2</sub> ends of the dendrons with chloromethylphosphonate (column 7 lines 19-24). This dendritic polymer would have a core valence of 3 or 4 and a generation of 2. The nitrogen atoms at the end of each generation chain may also be substituted with a hydrogen atom [claim 17; column 22 lines 2, 9].

4. Regarding claims 66 and 131, the phosphonate groups are used as ion exchange groups [column 6 lines 58-61]. The terminal anionic groups (phosphonic groups) of the cation exchange dendrimers are neutralized with stoichiometric amounts of alkali metal hydroxide [column 5 lines55-58] which results in a phosphonate group with an alkali metal cation. The alkali metal hydroxide used in the examples is sodium hydroxide [Example 8]. Furthermore,

Art Unit: 1796

one having ordinary skill in the art would have immediately envisaged sodium hydroxide, potassium hydroxide and all other alkali metal hydroxides from the disclosure of "alkali metal hydroxide".

- 5. Regarding the structure of 90, this polymer (with the leftmost Y moiety above and a hydrogen atom on the nitrogens) would have the structure wherein A' is ethylene, B' is ethylene, R is hydrogen, L" is methylene, and X is H or an alkali metal cation.
- 6. Regarding the structure of claim 91, this polymer (with one of the alkylene groups as the Y moiety above and a hydrogen atom on the nitrogens) would have the structure wherein A" is an ethylene or propylene, L" is a methylene and X is H or an alkali metal cation.
- 7. Regarding claim 128, the core of the dendrimer may be ethylene diamine or butylene diamine [claim 18; column 22 lines 18-29].
- 8. Regarding claim 133, Killat disclose a dendrimer with generation G=3 [col 8 line 2].

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 9. Claims 60-64, 69-76, 79, 81-84, 89 and 143 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caminade et al (FR 2734268 A1) hereinafter referred to as Caminade '268.
- 10. Caminade '268 discloses, in Examples 4-6, 11 and 12, several dendrimers with phosphonic terminals. The Examples include 10-generational [Examples 4-6] and 4-generational [Examples 11 and 12] with PMMH generational chains [Figures 1 and 2]. The ultimate generation reads on the intermediate chains of the claims. The PMMH chains read on the generational chains of claims 69-76 wherein A represents an oxygen atom, B represents a phenyl radical, D represents a hydrogen atoms, E represents a methyl radical, and G represents a sulfur radical [Figures 1 and 2; page 24 lines 13]. The core molecule is S=P= radical [page 24 lines 6-9]. Caminade '268 discloses that the core molecule may be derived from O=PCl<sub>3</sub>, S=PCl<sub>3</sub> or P<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub> [page 15 lines 23-26] which reads on the core molecule of claim 63.
- 11. Regarding claims 69-76, applicants claim generation branch compositions elected from linear or branched hydrocarbon chains having from 1 to 12 chain members wherein the generation chains are of the formula:

$$-A-B-C(D)=N-N(E)-(P(=G))<$$

wherein in the most limited embodiments A represents an oxygen atom; B represents a substituted or unsubstituted phenyl ring; D represents a hydrogen atom; E represents a radical alkyl; and G represents a sulfur atom.

Caminade'268 disclose the structure [Figures 1, 2, 6 and 13] having the same formula as represented above wherein A is an oxygen atom; B is an aryl group

Art Unit: 1796

namely phenylene; C is a carbon atom; D is an hydrogen atom; E is an alkyl radical namely methyl; and G is a sulfur atom.

12. Regarding claims 81-84, applicants claim the intermediate chains, which are identical or different, as represented by the formula:

wherein J represents an oxygen atom, a sulfur atom or a radical -NR-; K represents a radical -Aryl-, -Heteroaryl-, or -Alkyl- and most limited to an unsubstituted phenyl; L represents a hydrocarbon chain having from 1 to 6 chain members optionally having one or more heteroatoms. Caminade '268 disclose the structure in FIG. (XI) (column 38) having the same formula as represented above wherein J is an oxygen atom; K is a radical aryl namely a phenylene group; and L is a four membered hydrocarbon chain with N and P heteroatoms.

13. Regarding claim 89, applicants claim a dendritic polymer with a core, generation and intermediate chains, and phosphonic terminals in the formula:

$$\S - \{ \{A - B - C(D) = N - N(E) - (P(=G)) < \}^n - [J - K - L - PO_3X_2]_2 \}_m$$

wherein all variables are as defined above. Caminade '268 disclose dendritic polymers with a core of hexachlorocyclotriphosphazene or trichlorothiophosphane [page 15 lines 23-26] generation or intermediate chains described in paragraphs 22 and 23 of this office action, and phosphonic terminals.

- 14. Caminade '268 does not disclose the specific terminal groups of claims

  60. Described the terminal groups. Caminada '269 discloses terminal groups of
- 60. Regarding the terminal groups, Caminade '268 discloses terminal groups of the formula:

Art Unit: 1796

[page 49 lines 12-15]

wherein Y2 includes oxygen [page 50 line 11] and R4 and R4' include alkoxyl groups [page 50 lines 4-5]. Specific Examples 11 and 12 include phosphonic groups wherein R4 and R4' are ethoxy groups. The compound of Caminade '268 differs from the claimed dendrimer only in the presence of ethyl phosphonate terminal groups as opposed to methyl phosphonate terminal groups. One having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have expected the dendrimers of the prior art and the claimed dendrimers to have similar if not equivalent properties based on the structural similarities of the two compounds. A *prima facie* case of obviousness may be made when chemical compounds have very close structural similarities and similar utilities. "An obviousness rejection based on similarity in chemical structure and function entails the motivation of one skilled in the art to make a claimed compound, in the expectation that compounds similar in structure will have similar properties." In re Payne, 606 F.2d 303, 313, 203 USPQ 245, 254 (CCPA 1979). See In re Papesch, 315 F.2d 381, 137 USPQ 43 (CCPA 1963) and In re Dillon, 919 F.2d 688, 16 USPQ2d 1897 (Fed. Cir. 1991). Compounds which are position isomers (compounds having the same radicals in physically different positions on the same nucleus) or homologs (compounds differing regularly by the successive addition of the same chemical group, e.g., by -CH2- groups) are generally of sufficiently close structural similarity that there is a presumed expectation that

such compounds possess similar properties. *In reWilder*, 563 F.2d 457, 195 USPQ 426 (CCPA 1977). See also *In re May*, 574 F.2d 1082, 197 USPQ 601 (CCPA 1978).

- 15. Claims 119 and 127 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Killat et al (US 4,871,779) in view of Tomalia (US 4,507,466).
- 16. Killat does not disclose a core molecule for the dendritic polymers which contains a phosphorus atom. Killat does disclose core molecules including polyamines such as ammonia, ethylene diamine and diethylenetriamine [claim 18].
- 17. Tomalia describes several functionalized dendritic polymers with the same branch structures as Killat [cols 5-6]. Tomalia disclose several core compounds which include ammonia [col 7 line 4], ethylenediamine [col 7 lines 48], phosphine [col 7 line 54] and diethylenetriamine [col 7 line 55]. Tomalia discloses, henceforth, that phosphine (a phosphorus containing molecule), ammonia, ethylene diamine and diethylenetriamine are functionally equivalent as core molecules for the purpose of preparing amine based dendritic polymers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to substitute phosphine for ammonia, ethylene diamine or diethylenetriamine in the dendrimer of Killat because it is *prima facie* obvious to substitute art-recognized functional equivalents known for the same purpose, see MPEP § 2144.06.

Art Unit: 1796

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 18. Claims 78, 121, 129, 135, 141, 142, 144 and 145 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 19. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Killat and Caminade '268, discussed above, represent the closest prior art and do not arrive at the claimed inventions.
- 20. Regarding claim 78, 135, 141, 142, 144 and 145, neither Caminade '268 or Killat disclose a combination of the specific combination of generational and intermediate chains with a dimethyl phosphonate terminal of claim 78 nor is there any suggestion or motivation to prepare a dendrimer of this particular combination. The terminal groups of Killat cannot be modified to contain dimethyl phosphonate groups because these would not perform the intended uses of the dendrimers, namely ion exchange and chelate resins.
- 21. Regarding claims 121 and 129, while the claimed core molecule is disclosed in the prior art, e.g. in Caminade '268 and Majoral et al (US 6,969,528), there is no disclosed motivation to change the amine based core of Killat to the claimed phosphorous based core. Nor is there motivation to change the generation or intermediate chains of Caminade '268 to those of claims 121 and 129. Both of these modifications of the prior art are non-trivial, would effect the chemical and physical properties of the dendrimers (such as solubility and ion

exchange capacities) and would require significant adjustment to the disclosed preparation of the dendrimers.

### Response to Arguments

- 22. Applicant's arguments, see section 1 on page 18, filed 04 May 2010, with respect to Caminade et al (WO 0053009, herein US 6,939,831 B1 is used as an English language equivalent) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of 09/10/2009 has been withdrawn.
- 23. Applicant's arguments filed 04 May 2010 with respect to Killat et al (US 4,871,779) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 24. Applicants argue that discloses star polymers with at least one dendritic branch emanating from a core, with each dendritic branch having ach dendritic branch having at least two terminal ion exchange moieties [col 2 lines 25-30]. Applicants argue that the instant claims differ because the dendrimers contain only one terminal group for each intermediate branch. This argument is not convincing. The claims do not require "only one terminal group for each generation branch" but rather "only one terminal group for each intermediate branch". Killat requires at least two terminal groups per "core branch" corresponding to the first generation of dendritic branches. It is well within the teachings of Killat for each final dendritic branch to have only one terminal group. Actually, it is the specifically mentioned by Killat: The phosphonic terminals can be added through the direct reaction of the –NH<sub>2</sub> ends of the dendrons with

chloromethylphosphonate (column 7 lines 19-24). This dendritic polymer would have a core valence of 3 or 4 and a generation of 2. The nitrogen atoms at the end of each generation chain may also be substituted with a hydrogen atom [claim 17; column 22 lines 2, 9].

- 25. However, rejections of claims 60, 62, 64, 69, 78 and 79 have been withdrawn to the amendment that narrows the variable X to a methyl group in claim 60. The difference between the claims and the prior art are discussed in the reasons for allowance above.
- 26. Applicant's arguments filed 04 May 2010 with respect to Caminade et al (FR 2734268 A1) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 27. Applicants argue that Caminade '268 does not disclose the terminal groups of the formula  $-P(=O)(CH_3)_2$ . This argument is not convincing. Applicants interpretation of the prior art is correct; the terminal groups of the formula  $-P(=O)(CH_3)_2$  are not explicitly disclosed. However, as discussed in the now rejection above, the claimed terminal groups are obvious over the disclosed terminal of the formula  $-P(=O)(C_2H_5)_2$ .

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MIKE DOLLINGER whose telephone number is (571)270-5464. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/mmd/

/RANDY GULAKOWSKI/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796